

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/539,836	06/17/2005	Shigeharu Suzuki	050397	5007	
23850	7590 03/23/2006		EXAMINER		
ARMSTRON	IG, KRATZ, QUINTO	NGUYEN, CHAU N			
1725 K STREI SUITE 1000	1725 K STREET, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	N, DC 20006		2831		

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. •			_					
		Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/539,836		SUZUKI ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
·		Chau N. Nguy		2831				
The MAI Period for Reply	ILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess			
WHICHEVER I - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MONT - If NO period for rep - Failure to reply with Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY S LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 FMS from the mailing date of this communication. Day is specified above, the maximum statutory period whin the set or extended period for reply will, by statute by the Office later than three months after the mailing adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS 36(a). In no event, h will apply and will exp e, cause the application	COMMUNICATION nowever, may a reply be timpore SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this comm (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Respons	ive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a) ☐ This action	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)☐ Since this	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in	accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayl	э, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Cla	ims		•					
4)⊠ Claim(s)	<u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.				,			
4a) Of the	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.							
· <u> </u>	is/are objected to.		,					
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restriction and/o	r election requ	irement.		•			
Application Paper	S							
9)∏ The speci	fication is objected to by the Examine	er.		•				
10)⊠ The drawi	ing(s) filed on <u>17 June 2005</u> is/are: a))⊠ accepted o	or b)□ objected to t	by the Examiner.				
Applicant :	may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be he	eld in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	ent drawing sheet(s) including the correct		- · ·	'				
11) The oath	or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note t	he attached Office	Action or form PTO-	152.			
Priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119							
•	dgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
	rtified copies of the priority documents		• •		•			
	pies of the certified copies of the prior	•		d in this National Sta	age			
	plication from the International Bureau							
See the att	ached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified	copies not received	u.				
	. •							
Attachment(s)			•					
1) Notice of Referen		4) [Interview Summary (•			
	erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	ج، ا	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te atent Application (PTO-15	52)			
3) 🔼 Information Disclo Paper No(s)/Mail	osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Date <u>6/17/05</u> .				·			

Application/Control Number: 10/539,836,

Art Unit: 2831

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

 Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown (2,992,292) in view of Newton et al. (6,173,100).

Art Unit: 2831

Brown discloses an electric wire (Figures 2 and 4-6) comprising an electrically conductive core wire; a coating consisting of synthetic resin for coating the core wire; a mark formed on a part of an outer surface of the coating by allowing a coloring agent to adhere to the part; and a coating layer formed on the mark and the outer surface of the coating, and the coating layer coating the mark.

Brown does not disclose the coating layer consisting of polyvinylalcohol.

Newton et al. discloses a cable (Figure 17) comprising a coating layer 12

consisting of polyvinylalcohol. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use polyvinylalcohol for the coating layer of Brown to prevent water from entering to the mark since it is taught by Newton et al. that polyvinylalcohol is a superabsorbent polymer.

Re claims 2 and 3, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to choose suitable thickness for the coating layer of Brown to meet the specific use of the resulting wire since a thicker layer would further protect the core wire and since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Art Unit: 2831

4. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown in view of Itoh et al. (2003/0194573).

Brown discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for the coating layer consisting of ethylene-vinylalcohol. Itoh et al. discloses a multilayer structure comprising a polymer layer consisting of ethylene-vinylalcohol. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use ethylene-vinylalcohol for the coating layer of Brown since ethylene-vinylalcohol is a material having dimensional stability, heat resistance and mechanical strength as taught by Itoh et al. ([0039]).

Re claims 5 and 6, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to choose suitable thickness for the coating layer of Brown to meet the specific use of the resulting wire since a thicker layer would further protect the core wire and since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chau N. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1980. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chau N Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2831

Chaulgrys